
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,251	FARIES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Mark W. Bockelman</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Stuart Shapiro</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 December 2008	Time:		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 27, 34			
Prior art documents discussed: 6,334,707, 1.995,302, 4,338,174, DE 3709122, FR 2,711,393			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
AA 1 W.D. 1 1/			
/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766 ((Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	



Application No. 10/849,251

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed language that in the examiner's opinion would place claim 27 in to condition for allowance. Additionally, the examiner requested the cancellation of claims 34,37 and 38. While not necessarily agreeing with the examiner's position, applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment so as to place the case in condition for allowance at this time..